



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/589,881	06/09/2000	Jeongmin Moon	3430-0105P	1734

7590 07/14/2009
Birch Stewart Kolasch & Birch LLP
P O Box 747
Falls Church, VA 22040-0747

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, HOAN C

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2871

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

07/14/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/589,881	Applicant(s) MOON, JEONGMIN	
	Examiner HOAN C. NGUYEN	Art Unit 2871	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 April 2009.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1,2,6-11,14-18,21 and 24 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1,2,6-11,14-18,21 and 24 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 was filed in this application after a decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, but before the filing of a Notice of Appeal to the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit or the commencement of a civil action. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the appeal has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114 and prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 04/27/2009 has been entered.

Applicant cancelled claims 3-5, 12-13, 19-20 and 22-23. Therefore, ONLY claims 1-2, 6-11, 14-18, 21 and 24 are pending.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the amended feature: "*a size of the plurality of convex portions increases with increasing distance from the light source*" in the amended claims 1, 9, 11 and 21 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Art Unit: 2871

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2871

1. Claims 1-2, 6-9, 11 and 14-18, 21 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shinji et al. (US6259854B1) in view of **Ishikawa et al.**

(US5575549A).

In regard to claims 1 and 2, Shinji et al. (Figs. 1 a-15b) disclose an auxiliary light source device comprising:

- a light source 1;
- a light reflecting member (reflector 4) which guides light from the light source into the light directing member,
- a light directing member 3 for directing incident light from the light source toward the reflector, with this structure of the light directing member 3, the light ray L4 can be outwardly along an orthogonal direction if L4 strikes the convex portion at different angle (see Examiner Answer mailed on 6/01/2005, Reply Brief Note mailed on 6/14/2006 and 11/20/2006).
- the light directing member including
 - a lower surface having a plurality of convex portions extending from the lower surface, each of the convex portions having a substantially planar surface which is substantially parallel to the lower surface, and an angle between the lower surface and a surface connecting the planar surface of the convex portion is about 90° since slope angle $\delta = 0^\circ$ or 2° (col. 7 lines 5-6).

wherein light reflected along an orthogonal direction L2/L3 to the liquid crystal display device is uniform (to emit primary light inputted from the side end plane of the light

Art Unit: 2871

guide uniformly, in abstract and col. 1 lines 27-28) according to Figs. 5 ($\delta = 0^\circ$) or Fig. 6 ($\delta = 2^\circ$) or Fig. 7 ($\delta = 5^\circ$).

In regard to claims 21 and 24, Shinji et al. (Figs. 1 a-15b) disclose an auxiliary light source device comprising:

- a light source 1 extending along a width of the reflector to emit light along a length of the reflector 4;
- a light directing device 3 located above the reflector 4 and adjacent to the light source to direct light from the light source to the reflector such that a light distribution of light directed by the light directing device is substantially uniform along the length of the reflector, and such that the directed light is substantially perpendicular to the reflector;
- the light-directing device 3 includes a plurality of portions extending toward the reflector at a 90° angle such that the light reflected along an orthogonal direction L2/L3 to the liquid crystal display device is uniform (to emit primary light inputted from the side end plane of the light guide uniformly, in abstract and col. 1 lines 27-28) according to Figs. 5 ($\delta = 0^\circ$) or Fig. 6 ($\delta = 2^\circ$) or Fig. 7 ($\delta = 5^\circ$), spacing between the portions decreasing along the length of the reflector with increasing distance from the light source. With this structure of the light directing member 3, the light ray L4 can be outwardly along an orthogonal direction if L4 strikes the convex portion at different angle (see Examiner Answer mailed on 6/01/2005, Reply Brief Note mailed on 6/14/2006 and 11/20/2006)

Art Unit: 2871

In regard to claims 11 and 14, Shinji et al. (Figs. 1 a-15b) disclose an auxiliary light source device comprising :

- an upper reflective surface to reflect impinging light above a certain incidence angle; Example see in Fig. 2, upper reflective surface is 3c.
- a lower reflective surface 3a having a plurality of convex portions extending toward the reflector to direct light from the auxiliary light source device to the reflector outwardly along an orthogonal direction; with this structure of the light directing member 3, the light ray L4 can be outwardly along an orthogonal direction if L4 strikes the convex portion at different angle (see Examiner Answer mailed on 6/01/2005, Reply Brief Note mailed on 6/14/2006 and 11/20/2006)
- an entry surface facing to the light source 1 connecting the upper and lower reflective surfaces through which light from a light source enters,
 - each convex portion includes a planar portion and sides connecting the planar portion with the lower reflective surface, and an angle between the lower surface and the sides is about 90° since slope angle $\delta = 0^\circ$ or 2° (col. 7 lines 5-6) or 5° (col. 6 lines 62).
 - light reflected along an orthogonal direction L2/L3 to the liquid crystal display device is uniform (to emit primary light inputted from the side end plane of the light guide uniformly, in abstract and col. 1 lines 27-28) according to Figs. 5 ($\delta = 0^\circ$) or Fig. 6 ($\delta = 2^\circ$) or Fig. 7 ($\delta = 5^\circ$).
 - a planar portion is substantially parallel to the lower reflective surface.

Art Unit: 2871

In regard to claims 6 and 15, Shinji et al. (Fig. 1 b) disclose the planar surface of each convex portion has a cross-section of substantially circular shape (Fig. 1 b).

In regard to claims 7 and 16, Shinji et al. (Fig. 1f) disclose the planar surface of each convex portion has a cross section of rectangular shape (Fig. 11f),

In regard to claims 8 and 17, Shinji et al. (Fig. 1 d or 1 g) disclose the plane surface of the plurality of convex portions has a bar shape extending perpendicular to a direction of light propagation in the light directing member 11 and along substantially an entire width of the reflective LCID device.

In regard to claim 9, Shinji et al. (Fig. 15b) disclose (Table 1) a distance/height between the lower surface and the planar surface of each convex portion is $12\mu\text{m}$ and $20\mu\text{m}$ that is less than $50\mu\text{m}$.

In regard to claim 18, Shinji et al. (Fig. 15b) disclose a plurality of convex portion extending from the lower surface to ensure a uniform distribution of light along a length of the device (in abstract).

However, Shinji et al. fail to disclose the light directing member having a size of the plurality of convex portions increases with increasing distance from the light source.

Art Unit: 2871

Ishikawa et al. teach (Figs. 10-12) the light directing member having a size of the plurality of convex portions increases with increasing distance from the light source for obtaining a bright surface light source device with a uniform brightness distribution (col. 2 lines 63-65).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify a liquid crystal display device as Shinji et al. disclosed with the light directing member having a size of the plurality of convex portions increases with increasing distance from the light source for obtaining a bright surface light source device with a uniform brightness distribution (col. 2 lines 63-65) as **Ishikawa et al.** taught.

1. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Funamoto et al. (EP 08878720A) in applicant's IDS in view in view of **Ishikawa et al. (US5575549A)**.

Funamoto et al. teach (Fig. 10, third embodiment, page 8 line 53 to page9 line 35) a reflective liquid crystal display device, comprising:

- a display panel 102 inherently including two substrates spaced apart, liquid crystal sandwiched between the two substrates, and
- a reflector 103 to reflect light through the liquid crystal;
- an auxiliary light source device for supplying light to the display panel, including,
 - a light source 2,

Art Unit: 2871

- a light directing member (light guide plate 11) for directing incident light from the light source toward the display panel, the directing member having a lower surface having a plurality of convex portions, each having a substantially planar surface which is substantially parallel to the lower surface, an angle between the lower surface and a surface connecting the planar surface of the convex portion being about 90° , wherein light reflected along an orthogonal direction to the display panel is uniform which is inherent with this structure of convex portions at surface of light directing member (light guide plate 11),
- a light reflecting member which guides light from the light source into the light directing member, said display panel being between said auxiliary light source and said light reflecting member.

However, Funamoto et al. fail to disclose the light directing member having a size of the plurality of convex portions increases with increasing distance from the light source.

Ishikawa et al. teach (Fig. 10) the light directing member having a size of the plurality of convex portions increases with increasing distance from the light source for obtaining a bright surface light source device with a uniform brightness distribution (col. 2 lines 63-65).

Art Unit: 2871

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify a liquid crystal display device as Funamoto et al. disclosed with the light directing member having a size of the plurality of convex portions increases with increasing distance from the light source for obtaining a bright surface light source device with a uniform brightness distribution (col. 2 lines 63-65) as **Ishikawa et al.** taught.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HOAN C. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571)272-2296. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY:8:00AM-4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on (571) 272-1787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2871

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

HOAN C. NGUYEN
Examiner
Art Unit 2871

/HOAN C. NGUYEN/
Examiner, Art Unit 2871
chn